DATA EVALUATION RECORD FISH ACUTE TOXICITY TEST, FRESHWATER AND MARINE **GUIDELINE OPPTS 850.1075**

1. **CHEMICAL:** Cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC) PC Code No.: 069160

2. **TEST MATERIAL:** Cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC) **Purity: 99.9%**

CITATION 3.

Author: B Knight and K Paterson

Title: CPC Determination of Acute Toxicity (LC₅₀) to Rainbow Trout (96 h, Static)

Report Date: February 10, 2005

Laboratory: Inveresk, Tranent, EH33 2 NE, Scotland

Sponsor: Rutherford Chemicals LLC

Study Report ID: #23188

Laboratory Report ID: Inveresk Project No. 804193

MRID No.: 468162-05

4. **REVIEWED BY:**

> RASSB/AD/OPP/OPPTS Q.(. Signature:

Date:

Date: 1/23/08

5. APPROVED BY:

> Norm Cook, Chief Signature:

> > RASSB/AD/OPP/OPPTS

6. STUDY PARAMETERS

Scientific Name of Test Organism: Oncorhynchus mykiss

Age of Test Organism: No data were provided

Definitive Test Duration: 96 hours

Study Method: Static

Type of Concentrations: Nominal and mean measured

7. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

Verified Results Synopsis:

Statistical Method: Binomial Test **Results Verification Synopsis**:

96-hr LC₅₀:

0.15 mg/L

95% C.I: 0.11-0.21 mg/L

NOEC:

0.11 mg/L by observation.

8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY

A. Classification: CORE

B. Rationale:

C. Repairability:

9. **GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS:**

The following guideline deviations were based on EPA OPPTS Guideline 850.1075:

- \$ No data were provided on the age of the test organisms at receipt, but the animals were 4-6 cm long and weighed less than 3 g, which are juvenile size and weights.
- \$ Duplicate aliquots (ca 20 mL) were removed from each test tank at 0 h and 96 h during the definitive test
- \$ Exclusion of 0.1 mg/L test concentration from the range-finding test
- \$ Replicates were not discussed in the range-finding or definitive test
- \$ In preparation of test solutions for both range finding and definitive tests, the purity value of 95% rather than 99.9% was used in the calculation of required test item weight

10. **SUBMISSION PURPOSE:** Registration

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
Species	Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)		
Preferred freshwater species: bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus) or rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)			
Preferred saltwater species: Atlantic silverside (Menidia menidia) or Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus)			
Weight • Juvenile fish < 3.0 g	• ~1-3 g		
Length Longest not > 2x shortest	• ~4-6 cm		
Supplier	Marian Mill Trout Hatchery, Clwyd, Wales		
All fish from same source and population?	• Yes		
Fish used in previous tests?	No data were available		
If wild fish used, quarantined 7 days before acclimation?	Fish were from a hatchery		
Signs of stress or injury?	 All fish were in good health and free of any apparent malformation 		

B. Acclimation

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information Fish were acclimated for a minimum of 12 days prior to commencement of the study		
• Minimum 12 days (14 days recommended) • Minimum 7 days in test dilution water			
 Holding Water Same source as test dilution water (if not, acclimation to dilution water done gradually over 48 hr period) 	Reconstituted freshwater, prepared at Inveresk with high-grade salts and reverse osmosis wate was used during holding phase of the study		
No treatments within 48 hrs of test initiation or during test	No data were available		

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information During holding, fish were fed on a suitable standard fish diet Fish were not fed for a period of 24 hours prior to commencement of the study or during the test No data were available		
 Feeding No feeding within 48 hrs of test initiation. Feed daily prior to this period. 			
Pretest Mortality • < 5% during acclimation; reject entire batch if > 10%.			
 Water Temperature Temperature changes should not exceed 3°C per day Hold fish minimum 7 days at test temperature prior to testing 	 Ranged from 15.9-16.5°C for all treatment and control groups No mention of holding fist at test temperature but were acclimated to laboratory conditions a least 12 days before the study began 		
During final 48 hrs, colors and light intensities similar to testing area	No data were available		

C. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
Reconstituted water or water from natural source preferred. If dechlorinated tap water, daily chlorine analysis performed. Chemical analysis performed and maximum concentrations not exceeded (see guideline)	 Reconstituted freshwater, prepared at Inveresk, with high-grade salts and reverse osmosis water was used during testing phase of the study Appendix 2 of the study displays the results of the analysis of the reconstituted water 		

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
Solutions • Distilled water used to make stock solutions of test substances. If stock volume > 10% of test solution volume, dilution water used.	 Range-finding: Test solutions were prepared by the addition of amounts of CPC (21.05, 210.52, and 2105.11 mg for the 1.05, 10.5, and 105 mg/L solutions respectively) to tanks contains 20 liters of test water. Tanks were ultrasonicated for ~1 min to aid in dissolution of the test item Definitive test: Test solutions were prepared by appropriate dilution of individual stock solutions, prepared for each concentration Individual stock solutions were prepared by serial dilution (1:1) for a stock solution of CPC (prepared at 84 mg/L nominal) The 84 mg/L stock solution was prepared by adding CPC (84.22 mg) to a flask containing 1 L of test water and ultrasonicating it for ca 2-3 minutes to aid dissolution A 200 mL aliquot of each individually prepared stock solution was added to tanks containing test water (19.8 L) and the tank contents 		
	 ultrasonicated for ca 1 min to ensure homogeneity Untreated water only was used in the control tank 		
 Water Temperature 10 or 12 ± 2°C for cold water species (see guideline) 22 or 23 ± 2°C for warm water species (see guideline) Vary no more than 1°C in any 24-hr period Record in all replicates at beginning of test and every 24 hrs; record hourly in one replicate. 	 Recorded at 0, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours in all tanks during the definitive test Range of 15.9 °C-16.5 °C Measured with a YSI 550 A (Yellow Springs Instrument) dissolved oxygen meter with temperature probe 		
 > 6.0 and < 8.0 for freshwater testing > 7.5 and < 8.5 for marine testing Measured in each replicate at beginning of test and every 24 hrs 	 Recorded at 0, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours in all tanks during the definitive test Range of 6.79-7.22 Measured with a Sentron Argus X pH meter 		

Guideline Criteria	 Reported Information Recorded at 0, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours in all tanks during the definitive test Range of 77.5% to 87.7% air saturation value Measured with a YSI 550 A (Yellow Springs Instrument) dissolved oxygen meter with temperature probe 				
 Dissolved Oxygen Static: > 60% saturation at all times Flow-through: > 75% saturation at all times Measured in each replicate at beginning of test and every 24 hrs 					
Total Hardness • 40 to 180 mg/L as CaCO ₃ (freshwater species) • Measured at beginning of each test	Determined as 78 mg CaCO ₃ /L during the definitive test				
 Salinity 20 ± 5ppt (estuarine species) Measured at beginning of each test and, for flow-through tests, on day 4, and if extended days 7 and 14 	No data were provided -				
 Test Aquaria/Equipment Material: Glass, stainless steel, nylon screen or perfluorocarbon plastic (e.g., Teflon®) Test chambers loosely covered 	 Researchers used 25 L tanks of molded glass construction and covered with perspex lids to prevent dust contamination and evaporation 				
Aeration Static systems only if < 60% saturation; if aeration used test concentrations measured. No aeration in flow-through tests	Tanks were constantly aerated throughout the test period				
Type of Dilution System Must provide reproducible supply of toxicant	Serial dilution				
Flow Rate Consistent flow rate of 6-10 vol/24 hours Measured at beginning and end of each test No more than a factor of 10 variation between replicates	Static delivery system				
Biomass Loading Rate Static/Static-renewal: ≤ 0.8 g FWF/L Flow-through: ≤ 0.5 g FWF/L	No data were available				
Photoperiod Range from 12D/12N to 16D/8N, with 15 min transition period Intensity 30 to 100 lm at water surface	A light cycle of 16 hours light and 8 hours dark was in operation throughout the test using artificial daylight fluorescent tubes				

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
 Not to exceed 0.5 ml/L for static or static-renewal tests or 0.1 ml/L for flow-through tests Preferred solvents dimethyl formamide, triethylene glycol, methanol, acetone, or ethanol 	No data were available

D. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
• If LC ₅₀ > 100 mg/L with 30 fish, then no definitive test required	Conducted at nominal CPC concentrations of 0, 1.05, 10.5 and 105 mg/L (0.1 mg/L test concentration was omitted due to results of the initial range finding test)		
	Test solutions were prepared by the addition of weighed amounted of CPC to tanks containing 20 liters of test water		
	Tanks were ultrasonicated for ca 1 min to aid dissolution of the test item		
	Control tank had untreated water only		
	3 fish were added to the 0, 1.05, and 10.5 tanks		
	Within seconds of adding fish to the 105 mg/L tank, fish exhibited a severe reaction to the test item, such as loss of equilibrium and rapid respiration		
	Only 2 fish were added to the 105 mg/L tank and removed after about 1 minute to avoid additional stress		
	100% mortality in the 105 mg/L test concentration at 0 h		
	At 2 h, all fish were dead in the 10.5 mg/L group		
	At 5 h, all fish were dead in the 1.05 mg/L group		
	No control mortality was observed during the test		

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
 Test Concentrations Minimum of control and 5 concentrations in geometric series Concentrations 50 to 120% greater than next lowest concentration No more than 25% variation between test concentrations within same treatment Concentrations selected to produce NOEC and, preferably, at least 2 partial mortalities (> and < 50%) after 96 hrs Measured concentrations required if test chemical unstable or flow-through system, and must remain at least 80% of nominal concentrations 	 Nominal concentrations of CPC of 0, 0.05, 0.11, 0.21, 0.42 or 0.84 mg/L. Used to produce LC₅₀ and NOEC 		
 Concentration Analysis Performed at test initiation and every 48 hrs Static: each replicate, minimally at test initiation (before organisms added), at 48 hrs and at end of test Static-renewal: each replicate, at test initiation and end, and just before and after each renewal Flow-through: each replicate at 0, 48, and 96 hrs, and every 96 hrs thereafter 	Performed at 0 and 96 hours during the definitive test		
 Controls Consist of same dilution water, conditions, procedures and test population Negative and/or solvent Maximum allowable mortality 10% (or 1 mortality if 7 to 10 fish used) for 96 hr period; 10% additional past 96 hrs. 	Consist of untreated water only		
 Replicates Two per test concentration Equal volume test solution and number test fish 	Replicates were not discussed		

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
 Test Organisms Minimum 7/replicate (10 preferred) Equal number per test chamber Not fed during treatment period Randomly or impartially assigned to test vessels within 30 min of addition of test substance Biological observations made at 6 hrs and every 24 hours 	 Range Finding: \$ 3 fish per tank at each concentration, except 105 mg/L concentration \$ Not fed during the treatment period Definitive Test: \$ 7 fish per tank at each concentration 		

12. REPORTED RESULTS

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements included in the report?	• Yes, pages 3,4, and 26 of the report		
Name of test facilities, test dates and personnel reported?	Yes, pages 5 and 8		
Identification of test substance (including physicochemical characteristics) and purity provided?	• Yes, page 9		
Methods used in preparation of stock solutions	• Yes, page 11 and 23		
and analysis of test concentrations described? Accuracy of method (i.e., detection limit and quantification limit) reported?	Yes, limits reported on page 18		
LC ₅₀ concentration-response curves, LC ₅₀ values, and associated 95% C.I. determined for 24, 48, 72, and 96 hrs? NOEL also reported?	• Yes, page 14		
Graph of concentration-mortality curve at test termination and any control mortality observed during acclimation or study period provided?	• No		
Any protocol deviations which may have influenced final results of test reported?	• No		
Raw data included?	• Yes, pages 17-22		
Signs of abnormal behavior by test fish (if any) described?	 Yes, page 10 and 13 describe fish in an inverted position and respiring intermittently 		
Statistical methods reported?	• Yes, page 14		

Dose Response

Range Finding Test

Nominal	Mean	Number of Fish at	Nu	mber of Dead	Fish
Concentration (mg ai/L)	Measured Concentration (mg ai/L)	Test Initiation	0 hour	2 hour	5 hour
Control	Control	3	0	0	0
1.05	N/A	3	0	0	3
10.5	N/A	3	0	3	3
1105	N/A	2	2	2	2

Note: Data was taken for 0, 2, and 5 hour intervals, not 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours

N/A -data were not available

Definitive Test

Nominal Concentration (mg ai/L)	Mean Measured Concentration (mg ai/L)	Number of Fish at Test Initiation	Number of Dead Fish						
			1 h	3 h	6 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
Control	Control	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.05	ND	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.11	ND	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.21	ND	7	0	0	0	0	6	6	7
0.42	ND	7	0	0	0	7	7	7	7
0.84	NQ (at 96 h)	7	0	0	7	7	7	7	7

ND- Not detected (typical limit of detection =0.27 mg/L)

NQ- Not quantifiable (limit of quantification=0.84 mg/L)

Statistical Results: The 48 and 72 hours LC50 values were estimated using the Spearman-Karber method (Hamilton *et al*, 1977). When no fractional mortality was present, such as at 6, 24, and 96 hours, the estimate of the LC 50 was taken as the arithmetic mean of the 0 and 100% mortality concentrations (Rand and Petrocelli, 1985). The NOEC for observed effects was based on observation of the behavior of the fish and reported on the basis of nominal concentrations of CPC.

⁺ The initial range finding test indicated no mortality at 0.1 mg/L

 $^{^{1}}$ Only 2 fish were added to this tank because they were observed to have an immediate reaction to the test item. The 2 fish were removed from the tank after ~ 1 min as they were exhibiting loss of equilibrium and difficulty in respiration. This test concentration was assigned a default mortality of 100%.

Results Synopsis:

Duration	LC ₅₀ (mg a.i./L)	95% Upper CI	95% Lower CI
6-hr	0.63	0.84	0.42
24-hr	0.32	0.42	0.21
48-hr	0.17	0.20	0.11
72-hr	0.17	0.20	0.11
96-hr	0.16	0.21	0.11

NOEC through 96 hours = 0.11 mg/L

Other Effects Observed: At \sim 48 hours, one of the surviving fish at 0.21 mg/L was observed in an inverted position on the tank base and respiring only intermittently. The fish was removed to avoid additional distress. After 72 hours of exposure, the surviving fish in the 0.21 mg/L group appeared more lethargic than the control fish. By \sim 78 hours, the one surviving fish in the 0.21 mg/L group was observed at the tank base in an inverted position. The fish was unable to regain equilibrium and thus removed to prevent further distress. No adverse effects were noted in tanks 0, 0.05, and 0.11 mg/L after 96 hours of exposure.

13. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS

.84	EXPOSED 7	DEAD 7	DEAD 100	PROB. (PERCENT) .78125	
.42	?	?	100 100	.78125 .78125	
.11	ź	é	0 0	.78125	
.05		0		.78125	
USED AS S'	IAL TEST SHOWS TATISTICALLY SO	UND CONSERVA	TIVE 95 PERCENT		
	E LIMITS, BECAU D WITH THESE LI				
AN APPROX	IMATE LC50 FOR	THIS SET OF	DATA IS .151986	8	
WHEN THER	E ARE LESS THAN	TWO CONCENT	RATIONS AT WHIC	н тие	
PERCENT D		0 AND 100, N	EITHER THE MOVI	NG AVERAGE NOR THE	
HERE WA	**************************************				
	wanified in TOVANA	******************			

* Results were verified in TOXANAL

Statistical Method: Binomial Test

Results Verification Synopsis: 96-hr LC₅₀: 0.15 mg/L 95% C.I: 0.11-0.21 mg/L

NOEC: Not available, 0.11 mg/L by observation.

14. <u>REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:</u> The study seemed adequate, but did have some limitations. The age of the fish were not discussed at all and the study also lacked evidence of replications, as required by the guidelines. These limitations, though, probably did not influence the outcome of the study, due to the fact the size and weight of the fish were of juvenile size. The quality assurance and GLP papers were given and appropriate acclimation data was provided. The statistical results were also very similar to those given by the TOXANAL program.

References

Hamilton, M.A, Russo, R.C., Thurston, R.V. (1977) Trimmed Spearman-Karber method for estimating median lethal concentrations in toxicity bioassays. *Environmental Science and Technology* 11 714-719.

Rand, G.M., Petrocelli, S.R. (1985) Statistical analysis.. Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology 5 110-123.